

[Printer-friendly version](#) [1][PDF version](#) [2]

-  [Twitter](#) [3]
-  [Google+](#) [4]
-  [Facebook](#) [5]
-  [LinkedIn](#) [6]
-  [Digg](#) [7]
-  [del.icio.us](#) [8]
-  [StumbleUpon](#) [9]

Should REDD+ be Included in the CDM?

Analysis of issues and options Prepared for the CDM Policy Dialogue

Resource
June 2012

Themes

- [10]
- [Legal frameworks for REDD+](#) [11]

[redd_cdm_title.png](#) [12]



Authors:
Climate Focus: Robert O'Sullivan, Campbell Moore
Climate Advisers: Michael Washin
Independent Consultant: Doris Lee
June 2012

PDF



[13]

Filename: redd_cdm.pdf

Size: 983.46 KB

Summary

This paper recommend the Panel give close consideration to expanding CDM to include additional REDD+ activities, and/or piloting sectoral “RED” at national or subnational scale.

The treatment of forests continues to evolve under the UNFCCC and Kyoto Protocol, with the most recent developments under the Convention advancing REDD+ considerably. The origin of REDD+ on the international agenda dates to the submission by Papua New Guinea and Costa Rica at COP-11 in 2005 that deforestation be added to the annual meeting’s agenda. Since that time the scope has expanded from reducing emissions from deforestation (RED) to include also forest degradation, conservation and enhancement of forest carbon stocks, and sustainable management of forests, known as REDD+. Further UNFCCC decisions have reaffirmed the “crucial role” of REDD+ and provided guidance and frameworks to further develop REDD+, recognizing the use of market-based finance.

This evolution suggests a need to revisit early decisions to exclude some REDD+ activities from the CDM. Currently under the Kyoto Protocol’s CDM, only the afforestation and reforestation activities of REDD+ are included due to initial environmental and market concerns with other activities in the full scope of REDD+. However, Parties to the Kyoto Protocol are currently considering expanding the scope of eligible land use activities beyond afforestation/reforestation. A broader reform of the CDM beyond a project based approach to possibly include sectoral approaches is also being contemplated, which, while distinct from forest sector scope considerations, could align well with REDD+.

Authors

O’Sullivan, Robert

Moore, Cambell

Wolosin, Michael

Lee, Donna

Organisations

[Climate Focus](#) [14]

[Climate Advisers](#) [15]

Search resources

Search

Apply

Reset

Other resources

- [An Introduction to REDD+ \(Khmer, English subtitles\)](#) [16]
- [Technical considerations for Forest Reference Emission Level and/or Forest Reference Level construction for REDD+ under the UNFCCC](#) [17]
- [Review of REDD+ implementation in Mexico](#) [18]
- [A New Climate for Peace: Taking Action on Climate and Fragility Risks](#) [19]
- [REDD+ in Asia Pacific](#) [20]
- [Technical Guidance for Jurisdictional and Nested REDD+ Programs](#) [21]
- [Guidance for Jurisdictional and Nested REDD+ Program Design](#) [22]
- [REDD+ for profit or for good? Review of private sector and NGO experience in REDD projects](#) [23]
- [Climate smart landscapes: multifunctionality in practice](#) [24]
- [Reframing the Sharing Vs Sparing debate for Tropical Forestry Landscapes](#) [25]

Source URL:

<http://theredddesk.org/resources/should-redd-be-included-cdm-analysis-issues-and-options-prepared-cdm-policy-dialogue>

Links

- [1]
<http://theredddesk.org/print/resources/should-redd-be-included-cdm-analysis-issues-and-options-prepared-cdm-policy-dialogue>
- [2]
<http://theredddesk.org/printpdf/resources/should-redd-be-included-cdm-analysis-issues-and-options-prepared-cdm-policy-dialogue>
- [3]
<http://twitter.com/share?url=http%3A//theredddesk.org/resources/should-redd-be-included-cdm-analysis-issues-and-options-prepared-cdm-policy-dialogue&text=Should%20REDD%2B%20be%20Included%20in%20the%20CDM%3F%20Analysis%20of%20issues%20and%20options%20Prepared%20for%20the%20CDM%20Policy%20Dialogue>
- [4] <https://plus.google.com/share?url=http%3A//theredddesk.org/resources/should-redd-be-included-cdm-analysis-issues-and-options-prepared-cdm-policy-dialogue>
- [5]
<http://www.facebook.com/sharer.php?u=http%3A//theredddesk.org/resources/should-redd-be-included-cdm-analysis-issues-and-options-prepared-cdm-policy-dialogue&t=Should%20REDD%2B%20be%20Included%20in%20the%20CDM%3F%20Analysis%20of%20issues%20and%20options%20Prepared%20for%20the%20CDM%20Policy%20Dialogue>
- [6]
<http://www.linkedin.com/shareArticle?mini=true&url=http%3A//theredddesk.org/resources/should-redd-be-included-cdm-analysis-issues-and-options-prepared-cdm-policy-dialogue&title=Should%20REDD%2B%20be%20Included%20in%20the%20CDM%3F%20Analysis%20of%20issues%20and%20options%20Prepared%20for%20the%20CDM%20Policy%20Dialogue&summary=&source=The%20REDD%20Desk>
- [7]

[http://digg.com/submit?phase=2&url=http%3A//theredddesk.org/resources/should-redd-be-included-cdm-analysis-issues-and-options-prepared-cd-](http://digg.com/submit?phase=2&url=http%3A//theredddesk.org/resources/should-redd-be-included-cdm-analysis-issues-and-options-prepared-cd-polic-)
-
dialogue&title=Should%20REDD%2B%20be%20Included%20in%20the%20CDM%3F%20Analysis%20of%20issues%20and%20options%20Prepared%20for%20the%20CDM%20Policy%20Dialogue
[8] [http://del.icio.us/post?url=http%3A//theredddesk.org/resources/should-redd-be-included-cdm-analysis-issues-and-options-prepared-cdm-](http://del.icio.us/post?url=http%3A//theredddesk.org/resources/should-redd-be-included-cdm-analysis-issues-and-options-prepared-cdm-policy-)
-
dialogue&title=Should%20REDD%2B%20be%20Included%20in%20the%20CDM%3F%20Analysis%20of%20issues%20and%20options%20Prepared%20for%20the%20CDM%20Policy%20Dialogue
[9] [http://www.stumbleupon.com/submit?url=http%3A//theredddesk.org/resources/should-redd-be-included-cdm-analysis-issues-and-options-prepared-cd-](http://www.stumbleupon.com/submit?url=http%3A//theredddesk.org/resources/should-redd-be-included-cdm-analysis-issues-and-options-prepared-cd-polic-)
-
dialogue&title=Should%20REDD%2B%20be%20Included%20in%20the%20CDM%3F%20Analysis%20of%20issues%20and%20options%20Prepared%20for%20the%20CDM%20Policy%20Dialogue
[10] <http://theredddesk.org/taxonomy/term>
[11] <http://theredddesk.org/theme/legal-frameworks-redd>
[12] <http://theredddesk.org/file/reddcdmtitlepng>
[13] http://theredddesk.org/sites/default/files/resources/pdf/2012/redd_cdm.pdf
[14] <http://theredddesk.org/countries/actors/climate-focus>
[15] <http://theredddesk.org/countries/actors/climate-advisers>
[16] <http://theredddesk.org/resources/introduction-redd-khmer-english-subtitles>
[17] <http://theredddesk.org/resources/technical-considerations-forest-reference-emission-level-and-or-forest-reference-level>
[18] <http://theredddesk.org/resources/review-redd-implementation-mexico>
[19] <http://theredddesk.org/resources/new-climate-peace-taking-action-climate-and-fragility-risks>
[20] <http://theredddesk.org/resources/redd-asia-pacific>
[21] <http://theredddesk.org/resources/technical-guidance-jurisdictional-and-nested-redd-programs>
[22] <http://theredddesk.org/resources/guidance-jurisdictional-and-nested-redd-program-design>
[23] <http://theredddesk.org/resources/redd-profit-or-good-review-private-sector-and-ngo-experience-redd-projects>
[24] <http://theredddesk.org/resources/climate-smart-landscapes-multifunctionality-practice>
[25] <http://theredddesk.org/resources/reframing-sharing-vs-sparing-debate-tropical-forestry-landscapes>